### Dammexplosion på en fabrik för produktion av farmaceutika.

880329 MARS 1988\_12

Efter en testsekvens med en torkare utförd av en tekniker stängdes manluckan om torkaren men fästes inte fullgott. Efter några få minuters drift inträffade en explosion. Ingen skadades. Luft hade troligen kommit in i torkaren genom manluckan. En blandningen av luft och acetonångor hade antänts av en elektrostatisk gnista.

#### Inblandade ämnen och mängder

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{CAS Nr.} & \textbf{M\"{a}ngd} \\ \text{aceton} & 67\text{-}64\text{-}1 & 10 \text{ kg} \end{array}$ 

Skador:

Människor: Inga. Materiella: Inga.

Miljö/ekologi: Inga effekter rapporterade.

Infrastruktur: Inga.

Erfarenheter redovisade (Ja/Nej): Ja

Kortfattat anges förebyggande åtgärder.

## **Report Profile**

#### **Identification of Report:**

country: FA ident key: 1988\_012\_01

reported under Seveso I directive as major accident reports: SHORT+FULL

Date of Major Occurrence: Time of Major Occurrence

start: 1988-03-29 start:

finish: finish:

## **Establishment:**

name:

address:

industry: 2004 pesticides, pharmaceuticals, other fine chemicals

Pharmaceutical (Process Plant)

Seveso II status: not applicable: Yes art. 6 (notification):  ${\operatorname{No}}$ 

art. 7 (MAPP): No

art. 9 (safety report): No

**Date of Report:** 

short: full:

#### **Authority Reporting:**

name:

address:

#### **Authority Contact:**

rep\_cont\_name:

rep\_cont\_phone:

rep_cont_fax:
Additional Comments:
a) - not applicable -
b) - not applicable -
c) - not applicable -
d) - not applicable -
e) - not applicable -
Short Report
<b>country:</b> FA <b>ident key:</b> 1988_012_01
Accident Types:
release: No explosion: Yes
water contamination: No other: No
fire: No
description:
ACCIDENT CASE HISTORY DESCRIPTION: see Appendix Short Report / description of accident types
Substance(s) Directly Involved:
toxic: No explosive: Yes
ecotoxic: No other: No
flammable: Yes
description:
- Acetone (C.A.S. CODE: 67-64-1, E.E.C. CODE: 606-001-02-8): amount involved = less than 10 Kg see
Appendix Short Report / description of substances involved
Immediate Sources of Accident:
storage: No transfer: No
process: Yes other: No
description:  The accident occurred in a powder dryer of a pharmaceutical industry. The explosion occurred during a testing
operation.
Suspected Causes:
plant or equipment: Yes environmental: No
human: Yes other: No
description:
CAUSES: see Appendix Short Report / description of suspected causes

**Immediate Effects:** 

material loss: No

human deaths: No

human injuries: No community disruption: No

other: No

ecological harm: No

national heritage loss: No

description:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of damages due to the dust explosion.... see Appendix Short Report

/ description of immediate effects

**Emergency Measures taken:** 

on-site systems: No decontamination: No

external services: No restoration: No

sheltering: No other: No

evacuation: No

description:

No emergency measures were necessary, neither on-site nor off-site. Flash fire that resulted from the

explosion was self-extinguished.

**Immediate Lessons Learned:** 

prevention: Yes other: No

mitigation: No

description:

MEASURES TO PREVENT ANY RECURRENCE OF SIMILAR ACCIDENTS:... see Appendix Short Report / description of

immediate lessons learned

1 Type of Accident

## **A Occurrence Full Report**

country: FA ident key: 1988\_012\_01

remarks: During a testing operation of a powder dryer, a technician closed the

man-hole cover, put the dryer under vacuum and started the rotation. A few

minutes later a dust explosion occurred (code 1305).

2 Dangerous Substances

remarks: The total establishment and the potential directly involved inventories of

toluene refer to the amount involved in the accident. From the Original

Report is not fully clear if acetone was a starting material or a finished

product. No data a... see Appendix Full Report A / dangerous substances

3 Source of Accident

illustration: - not applicable -

remarks: The accident occurred in a powder dryer (codes 4007 and 3104) of a

pharmaceutical industry (code 2004). The explosion occurred during a testing

operation of the powder dryer.

4 Meteorological Conditions

precipitation none: fog: rain: hail: snow:

No No No No No

```
wind speed (m/s):
direction (from):
stability (Pasquill):
ambient temperature (\inftyC):
remarks: - not applicable -
5 Causes of Major Occurrence
main causes
technical / physical 5109 operation: electrostatic accumulation
- not applicable -
- not applicable -
- not applicable -
- not applicable -
human / organizational 5302 organization: management attitude problem
5303 organization: organized procedures (none, inadequate, inappropriate,
unclear)
5401 person: operator error
- not applicable -
- not applicable -
remarks: Investigations carried out after the accident revealed that after the last testing, the
dryer man-hole cover had not been fully fastened (code 5401) and air must have entered the
rotating dryer at the man-hole cover gasket. Since a nitrogen... see Appendix Full Report
A / causes of major occurrence
6 Discussion about the Occurrence
- not applicable -
Type of Accident country: FA ident key: 1988_012_01
event:
major occurrence 1305 explosion: dust explosion
initiating event 1305 explosion: dust explosion
associated event - not applicable -
Dangerous substances
country: FA ident key: 1988_012_01
a) total establishment inventory
CAS number: identity: Powder
name from Seveso I Directive: - not applicable -
name from Seveso II Directive: - not applicable -
category from Seveso II: - not applicable -
other hazards (1): - not applicable -
other hazards (2): - not applicable -
maximum quantity (tonnes): -1
```

establishment: No

off-site; local: No off-site; regional: No off-site; transboundary: No illustration of effects - not applicable remarks In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant effects outside the i... see Appendix Full Report B / area concerned - remarks 2 People establishment popul. emergency personnel off-site population total at risk immediate fatalities subsequent fatalities hospitalizing injuries other serious injuries health monitoring remarks No one was injured by the explosion. 3 Ecological Harm pollution/contamination/damage of: - residential area (covered by toxic cloud) Suspected - common wild flora/fauna (death or elimination) Suspected - rare or protected flora/fauna (death or elimination) Suspected - water catchment areas and supplies for consumption or recreation Suspected - land (with known potential for long term ecological harm or Suspected preventing human access or activities) - marine or fresh water habitat Suspected - areas of high conservation value or given special protection Suspected remarks In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant ecological harms.... see Appendix Full Report B / ecological harm 4 National Heritage Loss effects on: - historical sites not applicable - historic monuments not applicable - historic buildings not applicable - art treasures not applicable remarks No data available. **5 Material Loss** establishment losses off site losses costs (direct costs to operator) (social costs) in ECU ECU material losses response, clean up, restoration

remarks In the Original Report there is no evidence of damages due to the dust explosion... see Appendix

#### 6 Disruption of Community Life

establishment/plant evacuated disabled/unoccupiable destroyed

- nearby residences/hotels No No No
- nearby factories/offices/small shops No No No
- schools, hospitals, institutions No No No
- other places of public assembly No No No

interruption of utilities etc. no / yes duration

- gas No
- electricity No
- water No
- sewage treatment works No
- telecommunications No
- main roads No
- railways No
- waterways No
- air transport No

significant public concern none local level national level

- off site populations Yes No No
- media interest No No No
- political interest No No No

remarks In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant effects outside the i... see Appendix

#### 7 Discussion of Consequences

## C Response Full Report

**country:** FA **ident key:** 1988\_012\_01

#### 1 Emergency Measures

```
taken - on site - not applicable - - not applicable -
not applicable - - not applicable -
not applicable - - not applicable -
off site - not applicable - - not applicable -
not applicable - - not applicable -
not applicable - - not applicable -
still - on site - not applicable - - not applicable -
required
not applicable - - not applicable -
```

- not applicable - - not applicable -

- off site - not applicable - - not applicable -

- not applicable - - not applicable -- not applicable - - not applicable continuing contamination or danger -on site not applicable -off site not applicable remarks - not applicable -2 Seveso II Duties pre-accident evaluation Article item not due yet not done done/submitted evaluated 6 notification No No No No 7 policy (MAPP) No No No No 9 safety report No No No No 9, 10, 11 update No No No No 11 internal plan No No No No 11 external plan No No No No 13 informing public No No No No 9, 12 siting policy No No No No post-accident evaluation Seveso II duty was actual were actual compared with actual contingency consequences consequences, the addressed? predicted extent was? Article item 7 policy (MAPP) not applicable not applicable not applicable 9 current safety report not applicable not applicable not applicable 11 internal plan not applicable not applicable not applicable 11 external plan not applicable not applicable not applicable 13 informing public not applicable not applicable not applicable 9, 12 siting policy not applicable not applicable not applicable evaluation of safety organisation organisational element element existed did element relate to actual circumstances of yes / no no / partly / yes adequate? - written policy objectives No - specified management No structure - specified responsibilities No - specified working procedures No - specified procedures for No assessment/auditing of

management system
- specified procedures for No
review and update of
management policy
- specified general training No
procedures
- specified emergency No
training procedures
evaluation of ecological impact control
organisational element existed did element relate to actual circumstances of
yes / no no / partly / yes adequate?
- ecological status review No
before incident
- potential ecological No
consequences assessment
- ecological impact review No
after incident
- ecological restoration No
procedures
- subsequent review of No
restoration success
restoration success remarks - not applicable -
remarks - not applicable -
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned  measures to prevent recurrence
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned  measures to prevent recurrence  After the accident, the follow see Appendix Full Report C / lesson learned - prevent
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned  measures to prevent recurrence  After the accident, the follow see Appendix Full Report C / lesson learned - prevent  measures to mitigate consequences:
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned  measures to prevent recurrence  After the accident, the follow see Appendix Full Report C / lesson learned - prevent  measures to mitigate consequences: - not applicable -
remarks - not applicable -  3 Official Action Taken  legal action - not applicable -  other official action - not applicable -  4 Lessons Learned  measures to prevent recurrence  After the accident, the follow see Appendix Full Report C / lesson learned - prevent  measures to mitigate consequences: - not applicable -  useful references:

# Appendices for the FA / 1988\_012\_01 report

Appendix Short Report / description of accident types:

ACCIDENT CASE HISTORY DESCRIPTION:

A technician had tested a dryer on a number of occasions. He closed the man-hole cover, put the dryer under vacuum and started rotation. A few minutes later, an explosion occurred. No one was injured. Investigations revealed that after the last testing the dryer man-hole cover was not fully fastened. Air must have entered the rotating dryer at the man-hole cover gasket after sampling. The ignition source was probably by an electrostatic discharge. No nitrogen inerting was used.

#### Appendix Short Report / description of substances involved:

- Acetone (C.A.S. CODE: 67-64-1, E.E.C. CODE: 606-001-02-8): amount involved = less than 10 Kg.
- Powder: composition and amount involved = not known.

#### Appendix Short Report / description of suspected causes:

#### CAUSES

The investigations revealed that, after the last testing, the dryer man-hole cover was not fully fastened. Air must have entered the rotating dryer at the man-hole cover gasket after sampling. It was known that the powders being dried could explode, some residual acetone vapours were present and the Teflon coating on the internal lining of the dryer could have built up a charge. Thus an electrostatic discharge may have caused the explosion. Nitrogen inerting was not used.

#### Appendix Short Report / description of immediate effects:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of damages due to the dust explosion.

#### Appendix Short Report / description of immediate lessons learned:

MEASURES TO PREVENT ANY RECURRENCE OF SIMILAR ACCIDENTS:

After the accident, the following measures were adopted:

- 1- nitrogen purging must be carried out before charging/testing the dryer;
- 2- if the vacuum falls to -0.7 bar, rotation must stop and a nitrogen purge must automatically start. An audible alarm must ring;
- 3- when the Original Report was prepared, the company was compiling a computer program for the drying process to ensure that these functions are carried out.

#### Appendix Full Report A / dangerous substances:

The total establishment and the potential directly involved inventories of toluene refer to the amount involved in the accident. From the Original Report is not fully clear if acetone was a starting material or a finished product. No data are available about the kind and the amount of the powder involved in the accident.

#### Appendix Full Report A / causes of major occurrence:

Investigations carried out after the accident revealed that after the last testing, the dryer man-hole cover had not been fully fastened (code 5401) and air must have entered the rotating dryer at the man-hole cover gasket. Since a nitrogen inerting was not used, due to a lack in safety culture (code 5302) and insufficient operational procedures (code 5303), an explosive mixture formed. The ignition source was probably by an electrostatic discharge (code 5109).

#### Appendix Full Report B / area concerned - remarks:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant effects outside the installation.

#### Appendix Full Report B / ecological harm:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant ecological harms.

#### Appendix Full Report B / material loss:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of damages due to the dust explosion.

#### Appendix Full Report B / disruption of community life:

In the Original Report there is no evidence of significant effects outside the installation.

#### Appendix Full Report C / lesson learned - prevent:

After the accident, the following measures were adopted:

- 1- nitrogen purging must be carried out before charging/testing the dryer;
- 2- if the vacuum fails to -0.7 bar, rotation must stop and a nitrogen purge must automatically start. Ad audible alarm must ring;
- 3- when the Original Report was prepared the company was compiling a computer program foe the drying process to ensure that these functions are carried out.